

# BRYAN REFUSES TO DISCUSS THE QUESTION OF FREE SILVER.

He Declines to Answer Questions on Any of Its Features.

AN EXHIBITION OF COWARDICE.

Had Ample Opportunity to Satisfy the Curiosity of the Country.

PANIC WOULD BE THE RESULT

Of His Election—How He Would Advise His Secretary of the Treasury.

From New York Herald of August 29:  
LINCOLN, Neb., Tuesday.—William J. Bryan refuses to be drawn out on the silver question. He insists that imperialism is the paramount issue, and declines to discuss any of the features of silver except in his own way and at his own convenience.

Mr. Bryan had ample opportunity this evening to satisfy public curiosity as to his position on the silver question, but he would not grasp the opportunity to furnish enlightenment.

When Mr. Bryan was seen he was at leisure in his home. He had time to think, and the questions were plain. But his replies to them were unvaried. Here are the questions the Herald asked Mr. Bryan to answer, and here is his persistent reply:

"When the Democratic convention was held you declared that the free coinage of silver was as vital an issue as it was four years ago, and insisted upon the 16 to 1 plank going with the platform. Is that your conviction today?"

Mr. Bryan:—"I will discuss public questions in my own way and in my own time."  
"If elected would you exert your power to secure free coinage of silver in this country regardless of what other nations might do?"

Mr. Bryan:—"I will discuss public questions in my own way and in my own time."

"Secretary Gage points out that the President could at any time order the secretary of the treasury to make payment in silver for current disbursements of the government, and of all the public debt that is payable in 'coin'—that is to say, on practically all the bonds, except the comparatively small amount of refunding two per cents issued within the last few months. If elected would you make such payments in silver?"

Mr. Bryan:—"I will discuss public questions in my own way and in my own time."  
"Would not such action create distrust, and result in payments into the treasury being made in silver and its proper representatives?"

Mr. Bryan:—"I will discuss public questions in my own way and in my own time."

"With the treasury then getting its revenue in silver and making all its payments in silver, would not the government be practically on a silver basis?"

Mr. Bryan:—"I will discuss public questions in my own way and in my own time."

"Do you consider this desirable?"

Mr. Bryan:—"I will discuss public questions in my own way and in my own time."

"Would not such a situation lead to just such a movement as precipitated the panic of 1893, when holders of greenbacks, which are by law redeemable in gold, hastened to present them for redemption, thus draining the treasury of its store of yellow metal and compelling the government to issue new bonds to replenish the 'reserve'?"

Mr. Bryan:—"I will discuss public questions in my own way and in my own time."

"The same causes will always produce the same effects. Several years ago the run on the treasury for gold and fear that the country might go on a silver basis produced distrust, hoarding of gold, contraction of credits and the greatest panic the country had ever known. Have you any reason to believe that your election, pledged to make payments in silver and unsettle the standard, would not produce an even worse panic than the last?"

Mr. Bryan:—"I will discuss public questions in my own way and in my own time."

"After Mr. Bryan had been interviewed with the foregoing results he was asked:

"Will you not give some decisive answer to any one of these questions?"

"I will discuss public questions in my own way and time," he repeated.

"Can I say you decline to be interviewed as an answer to all the questions?" he asked.

"I have said all I wish to on the subject," he said. "The one reply answers for all."

## APOLOGISTS FOR BRYAN.

A Lively Dissection of the Arguments of the Anti-Imperialists.

"We are constantly invited," says the New York Times, "to admire the virtues of William J. Bryan. He has, they tell us, a most engaging personality. His wonderful tenacity of mind and purpose in keeping up the fight for free silver coinage against the advice and entreaty of some of the greatest men in his party proves him to be a man of strength, courage, and consistency. He is incorruptibly honest. He is manifestly sincere in the belief that his doctrines, if put in practice, will de-

## BRYAN'S RECORD.

An advocate of free trade.  
A believer in the free coinage of silver.

An expounder of all the socialistic and populist doctrines known to man.

A friend to the enemies of our country.

A vindicator of treason.

An ostensible friend of the "plain people," but who has grown rich without any visible means of support.

A denouncer of trusts, but backed by the millions made from the greatest trust ever formed.

An enemy to the working-man and his family.

An un-American, unsafe, unpatriotic citizen.

Senator Edmunds Says He Could Not Trust Bryan and His Cohorts.

A BRYANIZED SUPREME COURT

Would Work Havoc and Destroy the Fundamental Principles of the Constitution.

MR. BRYAN'S TOPEKA SPEECH

Expresses the Same Sentiment That Jeff Davis Did in His Book.

New York Herald: Though an anti-imperialist, former Senator Edmunds, as he has announced in the Herald, will vote for Mr. McKinley because he cannot "trust the country to the men that Bryan has around him." He cannot trust Mr. Bryan in the white house, a Bryan secretary of the treasury, or a Bryan judge on the supreme bench. As to the last named point he says:

"Take, for instance, that dispatch in a morning paper naming Albigel for the supreme court. I suppose it is a fake, but at the same time it sets one thinking of what is likely to happen."

Senator Hoar has also pointed out what he considers the danger of Bryanism in the supreme court, and others have expressed similar fears.

To determine whether this would be a real or a visionary danger in case of Mr. Bryan's election we have only to consider what control the President may exercise over the supreme court and what influence that tribunal may exert on national legislation.

It is not generally known that the judicial branch of the government wields a power in many respects greater than that of the executive or legislative department, or both together. As the final arbiter of the constitution, it is for the supreme court to say in any case of doubt or question what are the constitutional prerogatives of the President, what are the constitutional powers of Congress, and even what are the constitutional relations of the several states to the Union.

It was his chief justice that declared during the civil war that the President could not lawfully suspend the writ of habeas corpus. It was that supreme tribunal that first held that Congress had no authority to make United States notes a legal tender, and less than two years afterward declared Congress might make anything a legal tender. It was a majority of its members who first affirmed and then denied the powers of the national legislature to levy an income tax.

These are but illustrations that might be multiplied indefinitely of the sweeping power wielded by the highest court of the nation.

Now it is to be noted that this power is held and has often been exercised in cases of the most far-reaching consequence by five of the nine justices who constitute the full bench—a bare majority of one. It is also to be noted that the majority of to-day may overrule the majority of yesterday, as has been done in the legal tender, income tax and other important cases.

The power of a President to shape the judgments of the court comes from his prerogative of appointing its members. The political or personal views of a judge are not supposed to influence his judicial conclusions. But, as a matter of fact, they often, if not generally, do. The famous Dred Scott decision was the judgment of a court dominated by pro-slavery feeling. It could not have been rendered by judges imbued with northern sentiment. Mr. Albigel or Mr. Tillman would doubtless adhere to the same views as judges that they have so loudly proclaimed as politicians.

That Mr. Bryan, should he be the next President, would have the appointment of one or more supreme justices is altogether probable. That he would appoint men imbued with his own ideas goes without saying. What a Bryanized court would be able to do is shown by the vast power which the judges of that tribunal may wield. It might sweep away the constitutional barrier to a populist income tax. It might abolish what Mr. Bryan and his followers have so loudly denounced as "government by injunction." It might import into the constitution and the laws of the United States the financial fallacies of the silverites and the governmental vagaries of the Populists. There is no telling what it might not do.

From all this it must be obvious that a Bryanized supreme court, feared by Messrs. Edmunds, Hoar and others, is not a mere visionary danger.

## TIN PLATE INDUSTRIES.

Some History of Mr. Bryan Exposed. How He Tried to Prevent the Establishment of Mills That Have Given Employment to an Army of Workmen.

Kansas City Journal: Current events have so frequently discredited the utterances and prophecies of the Democratic candidate for president that an instance of the kind is neither new nor novel. Yet, by way of variation from the wholesale exposure of Mr. Bryan's financial predictions, it may be interesting to explore his failures in another direction.

In 1894, when the Wilson bill was under discussion Mr. Bryan made a speech in the house in behalf of free trade, declaring that he wanted duties reduced to an even greater extent than

the bill provided. In the course of this speech he had a colloquy with Congressman Raines, which is reported in the Congressional Globe as follows:

Mr. Bryan—"I will ask the gentleman if tin is manufactured in this country?"

Mr. Raines—"Well, I have in my desk a list in a trade paper." (Derisive laughter on the Democratic side.)

A member—"They are all on paper." Mr. Raines (continuing)—"A list of twenty-seven manufacturers of tin; but I want to say to the gentleman that no trade paper was ever printed that could contain a list of all the tin plate mills of the United States." (Laughter and applause on the Republican side.)

Mr. Bryan—"Mr. Chairman, I am sure if I have indulged in ancient history this house will not pardon unless I have a better excuse than the gentleman from New York can furnish for his indulgence in ancient history. (Applause on the Democratic side.) I want to ask the gentleman if he believes the tariff on tin plate had anything to do with the cheapening of the price of tin plate in this country?"

Mr. Raines—"I believe that the tariff upon tin will result in the establishment of an industry in the United States." (Manifestations of derision on the Democratic side.)

A member—"Answer the question." Mr. Raines (continuing)—"And will result in the keeping at home of millions of dollars a year that have gone abroad, and will give employment to 100,000 men in the industry, and will result in cheapening the price to the consumer in the United States." (Applause on the Republican side.)

Mr. Bryan—"Mr. Chairman, the gen-

eral needs to be remembered that Mr. Bryan stands pledged in the Kansas City platform to a policy that will destroy this thriving industry and that many others are threatened with it.

## R. B. ROOSEVELT DECLINES.

Will Not Attend the Anti-Imperialist Meeting at Indianapolis.

New York Times, August 7: The Anti-Imperialist League, having called a meeting of all those who sympathize with the movement at Indianapolis, Ind., on August 15, invited Robert B. Roosevelt, who sent the following reply to W. J. Mize, secretary of the American Anti-Imperialist League:

"I cannot comprehend the wisdom or patriotism of what is called anti-imperialism. Who is an imperialist? Find him, and then we will all unite in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists, then that term is merely used as an epithet, not a description, and its purpose is to create hatred and warp the judgment, not to influence the reason. You propose to call together 'a congress' only of those who deny the power of Congress and the President in punishing him. If no such creature exists,